[Proposal name]

Go/No-go

[Month and year (for example, June 2024)]

|  |
| --- |
| Instructions (delete once complete):   * A go / no-go is a short document that identifies the problem or opportunity and establishes that addressing the problem or opportunity is a government priority. * Submitting a go / no-go to the NSW Treasury relationship lead prior to commencing a business case is recommended for tier 1, tier 2 and tier 3 proposals. It supports agency decision-making about whether to proceed to business case development and provides an opportunity for early feedback on alignment with government priorities, the current fiscal context and strength of the case for change. * This template is not mandatory and should be scaled to the cost and risk of the proposal. Capital proposals, however, may require a gate 0 assurance review. [Consult the Infrastructure Investor Assurance Framework](https://www.infrastructure.nsw.gov.au/media/ptafumpl/iiaf-december-2023.pdf) for details. * Other templates, such as the [Infrastructure NSW Gate 0 Project Justification template](https://www.infrastructure.nsw.gov.au/investor-assurance/project-assurance/nsw-gateway-reviews/gate-0/), may be used in place of this template (refer to the [INSW Gate 0 Workbook](https://www.infrastructure.nsw.gov.au/investor-assurance/project-assurance/nsw-gateway-reviews/gate-0/) for more information). |

Document control

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Revision date | Changes made | Author | Version No. |
| [Insert text here.] |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Endorsement by senior responsible officer or equivalent:** | | | |
| Name | [Insert text here.] | Contact details | [Insert text here.] |
| Comments | [If any] | Date reviewed | [Insert text here.] |
| Commence to business case development: | | Yes  No | |

Proposal details

Table 1: Proposal details

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Agency | [Insert agency name.] |
| **Proposal name** | [Insert proposal name.] |
| **Proposal type** | [Capital / Recurrent / Recurrent including capital] |
| **Gateway framework[[1]](#footnote-2)** | [Infrastructure Investor Assurance Framework (IIAF) / Digital Assurance Framework (DAF) / Recurrent Expenditure Assurance Framework (REAF)] |
| **Election commitment** | [Yes / No] [Please provide details (if any).] |
| **Federal funding** | [Yes / Partial / No] [Please provide details (if any).] |

Case for change

Table 2: Problem or opportunity

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Problem or opportunity** | [Explain the cause (including any interdependencies) and effect.  Describe the importance and urgency of the problem or opportunity.  For capital projects, briefly describe how the agency’s asset management plan (or equivalent)[[2]](#footnote-3) provide evidence of the need for this project to deliver on the agency’s strategic objectives.  Where relevant, include supporting documents as an attachment.] |
| **Why NSW Government intervention is needed** | [There are three reasons for government intervention:  • Improving resource allocation (i.e. market failure).  • Distributional priorities or other government objectives.  • Maintenance and efficiency improvements for core government activity.] |

| **Objectives**  [Objectives must be:   * stated in terms of the desired outcomes * well-defined * measurable (if possible) or subject to verification * limited in number.] |
| --- |
| **Strategic context**  [Why is this a priority for government?  How does it meet public government commitments or Cabinet-endorsed policy or priorities?] |
| **Stakeholder engagement**  [Identify any likely major stakeholder issues.] |
| **Logic model[[3]](#footnote-4)**  [Outline how the proposal will lead to the intended outcomes by summarising or attaching a high-level logic model.] |

Strategic options

|  |
| --- |
| [Describe different ways to address the problem.  Identify a range of high-level options or classes of options.  Consider diverse possibilities such as non-build options, minimum viable products and alternatives based on variations in scale, scope and timing.  For example, options to address overcrowding of a facility may include:   * expansion of the facility * regulatory restrictions on access * more efficient pricing systems * shifting demand to other available facilities.   Where relevant, include information sources.] |

Cost ranges

Table 3: Costs and funding sources[[4]](#footnote-5)

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Proposal delivery[[5]](#footnote-6)** | **Preliminary business case** | **Full business case** |
| Costs (estimated) | [Include an order of magnitude estimate, $ million.] | [Cost to complete preliminary business case $] | [Cost to complete full business case $] |
| Funding sources (intended) | [For delivering the initiative] | [For developing the business case] | [For developing the business case] |

High-level benefits

Table 4: High-level benefits

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Benefits of addressing the problem or opportunity[[6]](#footnote-7) | Who would benefit? |
| [For example, improved social inclusion, travel time savings, increased future productivity and earning and cost savings.] | [Insert text here.] |
|  |  |

Risk analysis

Table 5: Summary of key risks

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Risk name** | **Description** |
| [Risk name.  Focus on showstopper risks decision-makers should consider when deciding whether to proceed to business case development.] | [Describe the nature of the risk.] |
|  |  |

Agency comments

|  |
| --- |
| [Any further comments, including any additional proposal specific issues that should be considered as part of a decision to proceed to business case development.] |

1. [NSW Gateway Policy (TPG22-12)](https://www.treasury.nsw.gov.au/information-public-entities/governance-risk-and-assurance/nsw-gateway-assurance) sets the Gateway policy framework and is overseen by NSW Treasury. [↑](#footnote-ref-2)
2. An asset management plan describes the operating context, governance, scope and range of asset management activities and responsibilities to ensure agreed service levels or outputs are met. For more information, refer to the [Asset Management Policy for the NSW Public Sector (TPP19-07](https://www.treasury.nsw.gov.au/sites/default/files/2019-11/TTIP19-07%20NSW%20Asset%20Management%20Policy%20-%20Master%20Approved_31%20October%202019.pdf)). [↑](#footnote-ref-3)
3. For guidance on how to develop a logic model refer to [Evaluation Workbook 1](https://www.treasury.nsw.gov.au/finance-resource/guidelines-program-evaluation/workbooks). [↑](#footnote-ref-4)
4. The table should be adjusted to reflect the type of business case document (lean business case, short-form assessment, or preliminary and full business case). [↑](#footnote-ref-5)
5. The cost of the proposal should exclude the cost of developing business case documents. [↑](#footnote-ref-6)
6. Ensure considerations of benefits from both an organisational and customer perspective. [↑](#footnote-ref-7)